UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,708	06/12/2006	Fumio Takeshima	025416-00031	7573
4372 ARENT FOX L	7590 11/28/200 LP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC SUITE 400	TICUT AVENUE, N.	CHANG, CHING		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/582,	708	TAKESHIMA ET	TAKESHIMA ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		CHING (CHANG	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC oplication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)∏ This action is r allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal ma	• •	he merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the apparate (Aa) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 8-13 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction. Con Papers The specification is objected to by the Entry drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	withdrawn from con and/or election	requirement.	b by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/12/06, 8/9/06, 6/8/07,10/15/0</u>		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,708 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 8/21/08. New claim 14 is added as requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (JP '910) in view of Ito et al. (US Patent 5,081,858), and further in view of Shamshidov et al. (US Patent 7,134,939).

Kobayashi discloses a camshaft comprising: a shaft (2; 12; 22; 102; 202) formed by cold forging; and a cam (3; 103; 203) mounted on said shaft; said cam being pressfitted over said shaft; wherein said shaft has a cut surface defined on a side; wherein said cam has a shaft insertion hole (4); further comprising: a gear (1; 11; 21; 201) mounted on said shaft; said gear being press-fitted over said shaft.

Kobayashi discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose a powdery lubricant being applied to cold forging the shaft.

The patent to Ito on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the cold forging art, to have utilized a powdery lubricant during a cold forging process.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the powdery lubricant as taught by Ito, in a cold

forging process to make the shaft for the Kobayashi device, since the use thereof would provide a good quality and low cost camshaft.

The modified Kobayashi device discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose the lubricant being applied to an etched shaft surface.

The patent to Shamshidov on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the mechanically interacting surfaces art, to have utilized a mechanical piece having an etched surface engaged with another piece in relative sliding movement (See Fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make an etched surface, as taught by Shamshidov, on the shaft of the modified Kobayashi device, with the lubricant applied, since the use thereof would provide a low cost and durable camshaft.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Ito et al., further in view of Shamshidov et al. (as applied to claim 1), and further in view of Sudoh et al. (US Patent 4,553,416) or Matsumoto (JP '173).

The modified Kobayashi device, however, fails to disclose said powdery lubricant comprising lime or borax.

The patent to Sudoh or Matsumoto on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the cold working process art, to have utilized a powdery lubricant comprising lime or borax.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the powdery lubricant comprising lime or borax, as

taught by Sudoh or Matsumoto, in the cold forging process to make the shaft for the modified Kobayashi device, since the use thereof would provide a good quality and low cost camshaft.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Ito et al., further in view of Shamshidov et al. (as applied to claim 1), and further in view of Ikeda (JP '422).

The modified Kobayashi device, however, fails to disclose said gear being made of resin and has a metal bushing.

The patent to Ikeda on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the engine art, to have utilized a resin made gear (18) with a metal bushing (10), attached to a shaft (11).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a resin made gear with a metal bushing as taught by Ikeda, attached to the shaft of the modified Kobayashi device, since the use thereof would provide a lighter and less noisy camshaft.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Ito et al., further in view of Shamshidov et al. (as applied to claim 1), and further in view of Toyota (JP '505).

The modified Kobayashi device, however, fails to disclose said shaft has a step providing different diameters on both sides thereof, said cam being positioned by abutment against said step.

Art Unit: 3748

The patent to Toyota on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the engine art, to have utilized a stopper (3) to prevent a press-fitted gear (4) from being detached from a camshaft (1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the stopper as taught by Toyota, to abut the press-fitted cam in the modified Kobayashi device, since the use thereof would provide a more reliable camshaft.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/582,708 Page 6

Art Unit: 3748

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHING CHANG whose telephone number is (571)272-4857. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571)272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ching Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748